

A2012-125
RECEIVED

January 20, 2012

2012 JAN 31 P 3:12

The Postal Regulatory Commission
901 New York Ave NW, Suite 200
Washington DC 20268-001

POSTAL REGULATORY
COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Sir or Madam:

I feel your decision to close the Andrew Post Office is based on false information given to you by the review committee. They are stating that they can save \$44,260 annually by closing this office. When you consider the true numbers you would be negative <\$494.00>. The following figures were given to you along with what I feel the actual figures should be.

Postmaster Salary	\$ 33,168	OIC Salary	\$22,880
Fringe Benefits	\$ 11,111	none for OIC	0
Annual lease cost	\$ <u>23,355</u>	binding lease until 2019	<u>0</u>
Total Annual cost	\$ 67634		\$ 22,880
Replacement service	<u>- 23,374</u>		<u>-\$ 23,374</u>
Total Annual Savings	\$44,260		\$ <494>

As you can see it will cost you money to eliminate the Andrew Post Office. This isn't figuring in the mowing, snow removal and possible liability to the United States Post Office in general if someone were to get hurt on this property during the lease period. There will be no one there to police this property for the next 7 years so you are leaving yourselves open to children ramping off the handicap entries. Possible cost of snow removal and mowing that was never actually done. Someone saying they slipped and fell in the parking lot when it really didn't happen that way. As a former USPS employee of the Andrew Post Office I feel my figures are extremely close to what your actual savings would amount to. This is also, or during my employment, was one of the few offices that not only paid for itself but made a profit.

Sincerely,

A former PMR and concerned Andrew Citizen